

# Planning Committee

## Appeals Progress Report

6 October 2011

### Report of Strategic Director, Planning Housing and Economy

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report aims to keep members informed upon applications which have been determined by the Council, where new appeals have been lodged. Public Inquiries/hearings scheduled or appeal results achieved.

This report is public

#### Recommendations

---

The Planning Committee is recommended to:

- (1) Accept the position statement.

#### Details

---

##### New Appeals

- 1.1 **11/00029/F – 198 and 200 Woodstock Road Yarnton** – appeal by Mr & Mrs N Wallbridge against the refusal of planning permission for the demolition of garage and 3 outbuildings and erection of detached 3 bedroom house and garage – Written reps
- 1.2 **11/00919/CLUP- 14 Charlbury Close Kidlington** – appeal by Mr Mudd against the refusal of a certificate of lawful use for the erection of a detached annex to rear of dwelling and removal of existing outbuilding – Written Reps
- 1.3 **11/00617/OUT – Land South of Blackwood Place and Molyneux Drive and North West of Cotefield Farm and Oxford Road Bodicote** - appeal by Banner Homes Ltd against the refusal of planning permission for an outline application for residential development of 82 dwellings- Inquiry

## **Forthcoming Public Inquiries and Hearings between 6 October 2011 and 3 November 2011**

2.1 None

### **Results**

#### **Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State have:**

- 3.1 **Dismissed the appeal by Mr Robert Winston –Fry against the refusal of retrospective application 10/01454/F for the construction of an Edwardian style conservatory to the rear of 11 Daisy Hill, Duns Tew. (Delegated)** – The Inspector noted that the appeal property is within the curtilage of a listed farmhouse and that considerable effort was made in the original design to satisfy the principle that additions to historic buildings appear as an almost seamless addition. Regrettably, the original approved timber windows have been replaced with unsympathetic UPVC ones, setting the building apart from its traditional surroundings. Adding to the harm this causes is the presence of the conservatory subject of this appeal. The Inspector concluded that the conservatory clearly detracts from the traditional appearance of the host dwelling and from the setting of the adjacent curtilage listed former barn and as such neither preserves nor enhances the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.

### **Implications**

---

**Financial:** The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.

Comments checked by Karen Muir, Corporate System Accountant 01295 221559

**Legal:** There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.

Comments checked by Nigel Bell, Team Leader-Planning & Litigation 01295 221687

**Risk Management:** This is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks arising from accepting the recommendation.

Comments checked by Nigel Bell, Team Leader-Planning & Litigation 01295 221687

## Wards Affected

---

All

## Document Information

---

Appendix No	Title
-	None
<b>Background Papers</b>	
All papers attached to the planning applications files referred to in this report	
<b>Report Author</b>	Bob Duxbury, Development Control Team Leader
<b>Contact Information</b>	01295 221821 bob.duxbury@Cherwell-dc.gov.uk